arXiv:1409.3694v1 [math.NT] 12 Sep 2014

THE AUTOCORRELATION OF THE MÖBIUS FUNCTION AND CHOWLA'S CONJECTURE FOR THE RATIONAL FUNCTION FIELD IN CHARACTERISTIC 2

DAN CARMON

Abstract. We prove a function field version of Chowla's conjecture on the autocorrelation of the Möbius function in the limit of a large finite field of characteristic 2.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be a finite field of q elements, and let $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ be the polynomial ring over $\mathbb{F}_q$. The Möbius function of a non-zero polynomial $F \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ is defined to be $\mu(F) = (-1)^r$ if $F = cP_1 \dots P_r$ with $0 \neq c \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and $P_1, \dots, P_r$ are distinct monic irreducible polynomials, and $\mu(F) = 0$ otherwise. Let $M_n \subset \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ be the set of monic polynomials of degree n over $\mathbb{F}_q$, which is of size $\#M_n = q^n$.

For $r > 0$, distinct polynomials $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ with $\deg \alpha_j < n$, and $\epsilon_i \in \{1, 2\}$, not all even, set

$$C(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r; n) := \sum_{F \in M_n} \mu(F + \alpha_1)^{\epsilon_1} \dots \mu(F + \alpha_r)^{\epsilon_r} \tag{1.1}$$

In [5], an upper bound on $|C(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r; n)|$ was established for fields of odd characteristic, demonstrating that for any fixed $n > 1$, $r > 1$, we have $\lim_{q \to \infty} \frac{1}{\#M_n} \sum_{F \in M_n} C(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r; n) = 0$. This is analogous to Chowla's conjecture over function fields, in the limit of a large finite field.

This result has since found further applications. In [2], Bary-Soroker utilizes a result similar to a part of the proof, named *square independence*, and computes a certain Galois group to be S_n^r. This computation then implies many equidistribution and independence results, proving function field analogues, in the limit of a large base field, to myriad classical problems, such as the Hardy-Littlewood conjecture, and the additive and Titchmarsh divisor problems. See [1] for more details and examples. We stress that Bary-Soroker's computation, and any implications thereof, were only valid in odd characteristic, due to square independence having been established only in odd characteristic.

Date: September 15, 2014.

The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Research Council under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) / ERC grant agreement n° 320755.

In this paper, we shall provide a bound on $|C(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r; n)|$ in the case of characteristic 2, yielding the analogue to Chowla's conjecture in this setting. We shall also verify square independence in characteristic 2, thus extending the validity of Bary-Soroker's computation, and all its implications.

Henceforth, we shall assume that q is even. As in odd characteristic, for $r = 1$ and $n > 1$, we have $\sum_{F \in M_n} \mu(F) = 0$. For $n = 1$ we have $\mu(F) \equiv -1$ and the sum equals $(-1)^{\sum \epsilon_j} q$. The case $n = 2$ is a new special case in characteristic 2, and will be handled separately, in section 5. For $n > 2$, $r > 1$ we show:

Theorem 1.1. *Fix $r > 1$ and assume that $n > 2$ and that q is even. Then for any choice of distinct polynomials $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ with $\max \deg \alpha_j < n$, and $\epsilon_i \in \{1, 2\}$, not all even,*

$$|C(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r; n)| \leq rnq^{n-\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{3}{4}(r+3)n^2 q^{n-1} \tag{1.2}$$

2. Analogues in Characteristic 2

The starting point in [5] was Pellet's formula, expressing the Möbius function in terms of the quadratic character of the discriminant:

$$\mu(F) = (-1)^{\deg F} \chi_2(\operatorname{disc} F)$$

For even q, Pellet's formula does not hold; indeed, even the usual quadratic character χ_2 itself is meaningless, as every element of $\mathbb{F}_q$ is the square of another. There is, however, a similar formula, which utilises Berlekamp's discriminant (first defined in [4]). We shall repeat here the definitions and required properties of Berlekamp's discriminant.

2.1. **Definition of Berlekamp's discriminant.** Given a polynomial $F(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0$, $a_n \neq 0$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{F}_q$, let $r_1, \ldots, r_n$ be its roots in some algebraic extension of $\mathbb{F}_q$. The Berlekamp discriminant of F is defined in terms of its roots as

$$\operatorname{Berl}(F) = \sum_{i<j} \frac{r_i r_j}{r_i^2 + r_j^2} \tag{2.1}$$

The expression $\operatorname{Berl}(F)$ is symmetric in the roots of F, hence it is in $\mathbb{F}_q$ and its value is independent of the extension used. Furthermore, taking a common denominator, we may write

$$\operatorname{Berl}(F) = \frac{a_n^{2n-2} \sum_{i<j} \left(r_i r_j \prod_{\substack{i'<j' \\ (i',j') \neq (i,j)}} (r_{i'}^2 + r_{j'}^2) \right)}{a_n^{2n-2} \prod_{i<j} (r_i^2 + r_j^2)} \tag{2.2}$$

Note that both the denominator and the numerator are symmetric polynomials in the roots of F. Hence, they are homogeneous polynomials[1] (over $\mathbb{F}_2$) in the coefficients of F of degree $2n-2$, and furthermore the denominator is in fact the discriminant of F, for in characteristic 2, $r_i^2 + r_j^2 = (r_i - r_j)^2$. Following Berlekamp, we denote the numerator of $\mathrm{Berl}(F)$ by $\xi(F)$, that is,

$$\mathrm{Berl}(F) = \frac{\xi(F)}{\operatorname{disc} F} \tag{2.3}$$

Note also that in characteristic 2, $\operatorname{disc} F = \delta(F)^2$ where

$$\delta(F) = a_n^{n-1} \prod_{i<j} (r_i + r_j)$$

is a polynomial in the coefficients of F with total degree $n-1$, and degree at most $d(n) = \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor$ in a_0 – its leading term, as a polynomial in a_0, is $a_n^{\frac{n-1}{2}} a_0^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$ for odd n, and $a_{n-1}^{\frac{n}{2}} a_0^{\frac{n-2}{2}}$ for even n. The formulae for Berlekamp's discriminant for degrees up to 3 are:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Berl}(ax+b) &= \tfrac{0}{1} \\ \mathrm{Berl}(ax^2+bx+c) &= \frac{ac}{b^2} \\ \mathrm{Berl}(ax^3+bx^2+cx+d) &= \frac{a^2d^2 + abcd + b^3d + ac^3}{(ad+bc)^2} \end{aligned}$$

2.2. **Effective computation of Berlekamp's discriminant.** The formulae above do not lend themselves immediately to computations of $\mathrm{Berl}(F)$ or $\xi(F)$ in terms of the coefficients of F. A computational method can be obtained by first lifting the coefficients of F from $\mathbb{F}_q$ to a field with characteristic 0. To do so, choose an algebraic extension K of the rationals that becomes isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_q$ when reduced modulo 2, and choose any lifting $F_0(x) = a_{0,n}x^n + a_{0,n-1}x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_{0,0}$ with coefficients in K such that $F_0 \equiv F \pmod 2$. If the roots of F_0 in the algebraic closure are $r_{0,1}, \ldots, r_{0,n}$ (such that $r_{0,i} \equiv r_i \pmod 2$) we have $\operatorname{disc} F_0 = a_{0,n}^{2n-2} \prod_{i<j} (r_{0,i} - r_{0,j})^2$. We define similarly $\operatorname{disc}_+ F_0 = a_{0,n}^{2n-2} \prod_{i<j} (r_{0,i} + r_{0,j})^2$. Note that $\operatorname{disc}_+ F_0$ is a symmetric polynomial in the roots of F_0, and thus is an integral polynomial in the coefficients of F_0 – in fact, it is the square of such a polynomial. Furthermore, it is clear that $\operatorname{disc} F_0 \equiv \operatorname{disc}_+ F_0 \pmod 4$, as polynomials. Therefore the expression $\xi_0(F_0) = \frac{\operatorname{disc}_+ F_0 - \operatorname{disc} F_0}{4}$ is also an integral polynomial in the coefficients of F_0. It is now easy to verify that when ξ_0 is reduced modulo 2, the obtained polynomial must indeed be equal to $\xi(F)$, as given by the numerator of formula (2.2). In particular, the result of this process is independent of the lifting.

[1] It is perhaps not trivial that they are indeed polynomials, rather than rational functions with a power of a_n in their denominators. We will see they are indeed polynomials as a by-product of their computation. Furthermore, in all of our applications, F will be monic.

In fact, in our computations we will use a simpler lifting. We shall always compute the discriminants in general settings, where all of the coefficients of F are either 0, 1 or a symbol from a set of variables V, never any explicit value in $\mathbb{F}_q$. Thus we need only to lift the coefficients from $\mathbb{F}_2[V]$ to $\mathbb{Z}[V]$, which can be done, for example, by lifting $0, 1 \in \mathbb{F}_2$ to $0, 1 \in \mathbb{Z}$. The discriminants computed in this manner will surely yield the correct polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_2[V]$, and hence the same formulae will also be valid for any substitution of values from $\mathbb{F}_q$ into the variables of V.

Finally, we note that $\mathrm{disc}_+(F_0)$, like the discriminant, can be expressed as a resultant, and is therefore easily computable:

(2.4)
$$\mathrm{disc}_+(f(x)) = \frac{\mathrm{Res}(f(x), f(-x))}{2^n a_0 a_n} = \mathrm{Res}\left(\frac{f(x)-f(-x)}{2x}, \frac{f(x)+f(-x)}{2}\right)$$

Splitting f into its even and odd part as $f(x) = g(x^2) + xh(x^2)$, we may rewrite formula (2.4) as

$$\mathrm{disc}_+(f(x)) = \mathrm{Res}(h(x^2), g(x^2)) = \mathrm{Res}(h(x), g(x))^2 \tag{2.5}$$

Using these methods, we show that for any $n > 2$, the degree of $\xi(F)$ in a_0 is at most $2d(n)$; this will be important later on. For all n, both $\mathrm{disc}(F_0), \mathrm{disc}_+(F_0)$ have degree at most $n-1$ in a_0. For odd n, $2d(n) = n-1$, and we are done. For even n, $2d(n) = n-2$, and so we must check that the coefficients of a_0^{n-1} in $\xi(F)$ vanish. And indeed, $\mathrm{disc}_+(F_0)$ is a square, hence its degree in a_0 must be even, and therefore less than $n-1$. On the other hand, the leading coefficient of $\mathrm{disc}(F_0)$ is known to be $\pm n^n a_n^{n-1} a_0^{n-1}$, which is clearly $0 \mod 8$ for any $n > 2$. Note that this is false for $n = 2$, and indeed we find that $\xi(F) = a_2 a_0$ while $2d(2) = 0$.

2.3. **An analogue to Pellet's formula.** The main theorem in [4] provides an analogue to Pellet's formula in characteristic 2. We restate it here in more familiar terms. Let $\chi_2 : \mathbb{F}_q \to \{\pm 1\}$ be defined by $\chi_2(x) = 1$ iff $x = y^2 + y$ for some $y \in \mathbb{F}_q$, and $\chi_2(x) = -1$ otherwise. Note that the map $y \mapsto y^2 + y$ is linear over $\mathbb{F}_2$, and its kernel is the set $\{0, 1\}$. Therefore its image is a $\mathbb{F}_2$-linear subspace of $\mathbb{F}_q$ with codimension 1, and thus χ_2 is a group homomorphism, i.e. $\chi_2(x+y) = \chi_2(x)\chi_2(y)$. We are interested in evaluating $\chi_2(\mathrm{Berl}\, F)$. From (2.1) we may write $\mathrm{Berl}\, F = \beta^2 + \beta$, where $\beta = \sum_{i<j} \frac{r_i}{r_i + r_j} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$, so we need only determine whether $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Note that any odd permutation of the roots r_i changes β to $\beta + 1$, and that β is fixed under any even permutation. As $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q$ iff β is fixed under the Frobenius endomorphism, the value of χ_2 is determined by the sign of the permutation on r_i given by the Frobenius endomorphism. The following analogue to Pellet's formula is now immediate:

$$\mu(F) = (-1)^{\deg F} \chi_2(\mathrm{Berl}(F)) \tag{2.6}$$

Note that the formula is only true whenever F is squarefree. Indeed, otherwise $\operatorname{disc} F = 0$, in which case $\operatorname{Berl}(F)$ is not even properly defined. Somewhat informally, we may correct this by assigning $\chi_2(\infty) = 0$. However, this difficulty is more easily avoided by assuming that $\operatorname{disc}(F + \alpha_i) \neq 0$ for all i. Indeed, as there are exactly q^{n-1} polynomials in M_n with $\operatorname{disc} F = 0$, this assumption fails for at most rq^{n-1} n-tuples $a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}$. This negligible error will be collected into the $\frac{3}{4}(r+3)n^2q^{n-1}$ term further along. We may henceforth assume that all $\epsilon_i = 1$, as terms with $\epsilon_i = 2$ do not affect the remaining non-vanishing summands at all.

3. Reduction to a counting problem

Continuing analogously to [5], we may write

$$C(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r; n) = (-1)^{nr} \sum_{F \in M_n} \chi_2\big(\operatorname{Berl}(F+\alpha_1) + \cdots + \operatorname{Berl}(F+\alpha_r)\big) \tag{3.1}$$

We single out the constant term $t := F(0)$ of $F \in M_n$ and write $F(x) = f(x) + t$, with

$$f(x) = x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1 x \tag{3.2}$$

and set

$$B_f(t) := \operatorname{Berl}(f(x)+t) = \frac{\xi(f(x)+t)}{\operatorname{disc}(f(x)+t)} = \frac{\xi_f(t)}{D_f(t)} = \frac{\xi_f(t)}{\delta_f^2(t)} \tag{3.3}$$

which is a rational function of height[2] at most $n-1$ in t. Therefore we have

$$|C(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r; n)| \leq \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n-1}} \left| \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_q} \chi_2\big(B_{f+\alpha_1}(t) + \cdots + B_{f+\alpha_r}(t)\big) \right| \tag{3.4}$$

In order to bound the character sum, we apply Weil's theorem to the appropriate Artin-Schreier curve. See [6, Theorem 1] for the general claim and proof; we state it here for characteristic 2.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be a field of characteristic 2, and let $p \in \mathbb{F}_q(t)$ be a rational function which is not of the form $H^2(t)+H(t)+c$ for any $H(t) \in \mathbb{F}_q(t)$, $c \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Starting with the projective curve $y^2 + y = p(t)$, using translations of the variable y by appropriate rational functions in $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$, we may obtain an isomorphic curve $y^2 + y = \tilde{p}(t)$, satisfying:*

1. *$\tilde{p}(t) = p(t) + Q^2(t) + Q(t)$ for some rational function $Q(t) \in \mathbb{F}_q(t)$ with $\operatorname{ht}(Q) \leq \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{ht}(p)$*
2. *The poles $P_0, \dots, P_s$ of $\tilde{p}$ are all poles of p*
3. *The order d_i of the pole P_i in $\tilde{p}$ is less than or equal to its order in p*
4. *The orders d_i are all odd.*

[2] The height $\operatorname{ht}(p)$ of a rational function is the maximum of the degrees of its numerator and denominator. It is equal to the total order of its poles (resp. zeros), including poles (resp. zeros) at infinity.

The following bound then holds:

$$\left|\sum_{t\in\mathbb{F}_q}\chi_2(\tilde{p}(t))\right| \leq 2gq^{1/2}+1 \tag{3.5}$$

Where g is the genus of the (isomorphic) curves, given by

$$g=\frac{\sum_{i=0}^{s}(d_i+1)-2}{2} \tag{3.6}$$

Note that the condition $p\neq H^2(t)+H(t)+c$ was necessary (and sufficient) in order to ensure that $\tilde{p}$ is not a constant function.

In our case, we want a bound for the character sum of p, not of $\tilde{p}$. Note that $\chi_2(Q^2(t)+Q(t))=1$ whenever t is not a pole of Q, and equals 0 at poles. Therefore $\chi_2(\tilde{p}(t))$ and $\chi_2(p(t))$ may differ only at the poles of Q, and if they do, they differ by at most 1. Thus

$$\left|\sum_{t\in\mathbb{F}_q}\chi_2(p(t))\right| \leq \left|\sum_{t\in\mathbb{F}_q}\chi_2(\tilde{p}(t))\right| + \mathrm{ht}(Q(t)) \tag{3.7}$$

We will use the following easy corollary of (3.6) to estimate the genus:

Corollary 3.2. *Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be a field of characteristic 2, and let $p\in\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ be a rational function not of the form $H^2(t)+H(t)+c$. Suppose that the order of p in all of its poles is even, except in at most one pole. Then $g\leq\frac{\mathrm{ht}(p)-1}{2}$, where g is the genus of the curve $y^2+y=p(t)$.*

For us, the relevant rational function is $p(t)=B_{f+\alpha_1}(t)+\cdots+B_{f+\alpha_r}(t)$, which has height at most $r(n-1)$. The denominator of p is $(\delta_{f+\alpha_1}\ldots\delta_{f+\alpha_r})^2$, which indeed shows that all its poles have even order, with the sole possible exception of a pole at infinity. Hence p satisfies the conditions of Corollary 3.2, provided $p(t)\neq H^2(t)+H(t)+c$. Combining (3.5), (3.7) and Corollary 3.2, we obtain

$$\left|\sum_{t\in\mathbb{F}_q}\chi_2(p(t))\right| \leq 2gq^{1/2}+1+\mathrm{ht}(Q)<\mathrm{ht}(p)q^{1/2}+\tfrac{\mathrm{ht}(p)}{2}+1<rnq^{1/2} \tag{3.8}$$

which, when applied to (3.4), provides the major term in (1.2).

We need now only find a way to bound the size of the set G_n^c of "bad" a's where $p(t)=H^2(t)+H(t)+c$. As in odd characteristic, we cover G_n^c by simpler, algebraic varieties.

3.1. Covering G_n^c.

Suppose WLOG that $\alpha_1=0$.

Proposition 3.3. *We can write $G_n^c\subset A_n\cup B_n\cup C_n$ where:*

- *A_n is the set of those $a\in\mathbb{F}_q^{n-1}$ for which $\deg\delta_f=d(n)$ and δ_f is not coprime to $\xi_f\delta_f'^2-\xi_f'^2$, that is*

$$A_n=\{a\in\mathbb{F}_q^{n-1}:\deg\delta_f=d(n),\mathrm{Res}(\delta_f,\xi_f\delta_f'^2-\xi_f'^2)=0\} \tag{3.9}$$

- $B_n = \cup_{j\neq 1} B(j)$ *where* $B(j)$ *is the set of those* $a \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n-1}$ *for which* $\deg \delta_f = \deg \delta_{f+\alpha_j} = d(n)$ *and* $\delta_f(t)$ *and* $\delta_{f+\alpha_j}(t)$ *have a common zero, that is*

(3.10)
$$B(j) = \{a \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n-1} : \deg \delta_f = \deg \delta_{f+\alpha_j} = d(n), \mathrm{Res}(\delta_f(t), \delta_{f+\alpha_j}(t)) = 0\}$$

- $C_n = \cup_j C(j)$ *where*

$$C(j) = \{a \in \mathbb{F}_q^{n-1} : \deg \delta_{f+\alpha_j} < d(n)\} \tag{3.11}$$

Henceforth, let us denote $\Xi_f = \xi_f \delta_f'^2 - \xi_f'^2$.

Proof. We will assume $a \in G_n^c \setminus (B_n \cup C_n)$, and show that $a \in A_n$. By $a \notin B(j) \cup C(j) \cup C(1)$, δ_f is coprime to $\delta_{f+\alpha_j}$ for all $j \neq 1$. From $a \in G_n^c$ we obtain

$$p(t) = \frac{\xi_{f+\alpha_1}(t)}{D_{f+\alpha_1}(t)} + \cdots + \frac{\xi_{f+\alpha_r}(t)}{D_{f+\alpha_r}(t)} = H^2(t) + H(t) + c. \tag{3.12}$$

Note that from $a \notin C(1)$ we have $\deg D_f = 2d(n) \geq \deg \xi_f$. Consider a root of δ_f with multiplicity m. Then it is a pole of p with multiplicity at most $2m$. Hence it is a pole of H with multiplicity at most m, its multiplicity in δ_f. As this is true for every root of δ_f, it follows that we may write $H = \frac{H_1}{\delta_f H_d}$ where H_1, H_d are polynomials and H_d is coprime to δ_f. Thus there exists a unique polynomial H_D with $\deg H_D < \deg \delta_f$ such that $H_D \equiv \frac{H_1}{H_d} \pmod{\delta_f}$. We may then write $H_1 = H_D H_d + H_2 \delta_f$ for some polynomial H_2, or equivalently, $H = \frac{H_D}{\delta_f} + \frac{H_2}{H_d}$. Substituting this relation in (3.12), we obtain from $\deg H_D < \deg \delta_f$ and $\deg \xi_f \leq \deg D_f$, as well as δ_f being coprime to $D_{f+\alpha_j}$ and H_d, that

$$\frac{\xi_f(t)}{\delta_f^2(t)} = \left(\frac{H_D}{\delta_f}\right)^2 + \frac{H_D}{\delta_f} + c_2. \tag{3.13}$$

Multiplying by δ_f^2, we obtain

$$\xi_f = H_D^2 + \delta_f H_D + c_2 \delta_f^2 \tag{3.14}$$

Differentiating the last formula, we get

$$\xi_f' = \delta_f' H_D + \delta_f H_D' \tag{3.15}$$

Reducing equations (3.14) and (3.15) modulo δ_f, we find

$$\xi_f \equiv H_D^2 \pmod{\delta_f} \tag{3.16}$$

$$\xi_f' \equiv \delta_f' H_D \pmod{\delta_f} \tag{3.17}$$

From which we easily derive

$$\xi_f'^2 \equiv \delta_f'^2 H_D^2 \equiv \delta_f'^2 \xi_f \pmod{\delta_f} \tag{3.18}$$

Congruence (3.18) states that δ_f must divide $\Xi_f = \xi_f \delta_f'^2 - \xi_f'^2$. $a \notin C(1)$ implies in particular that δ_f is not constant, and therefore δ_f and Ξ_f are not coprime – thus $a \in A_n$ by definition. □

3.2. **Bounding degrees and sizes.** In order to complete the proof we need to provide bounds for the degrees of the polynomials defining A_n and B_n, and to show that these polynomials are not identically zero. We must also bound the size of C_n. We shall first obtain the bound on the degrees and the sizes of A_n, B_n, assuming the relevant polynomials do not vanish. We begin with the following lemma.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $A = A' \cup \{t\}$ be a set of variables. Let $f, g \in \mathbb{F}_q[A]$ be homogeneous polynomials in the variables A of degrees d_f, d_g respectively. Let n_f, n_g be their respective degrees as polynomials in the variable t with coefficients in $\mathbb{F}_q[A']$. Set $R = \mathrm{Res}_t(f,g) \in \mathbb{F}_q[A']$. Then R is a homogeneous polynomial in the variables A' of degree $d_f n_g + n_f d_g - n_f n_g$.*

Proof. Write $f = \sum_{k=0}^{n_f} a_k t^k, g = \sum_{k=0}^{n_g} b_k t^k$, where a_k, b_k are homogeneous polynomials in the variables A' of degrees $d_f - k, d_g - k$, respectively. Let $\prod a_k^{r_k} \prod b_k^{s_k}$ be any arbitrary monomial appearing in R. By well known properties of the resultant, we have

$$\sum r_k = n_g, \quad \sum s_k = n_f, \quad \sum k(r_k + s_k) = n_f n_g.$$

It follows that the total degree of the monomial in the variables A' is

$$\sum (d_f - k) r_k + \sum (d_g - k) s_k =$$
$$d_f \sum r_k + d_g \sum s_k - \sum k(r_k + s_k) = d_f n_g + n_f d_g - n_f n_g$$

as claimed. □

In order to obtain bounds on the sizes of A_n, B_n from the degrees of their defining polynomials, we will use of the following elementary lemma ([7, §4, Lemma 3.1]):

Lemma 3.5. *Let $h(X_1, \ldots, X_m) \in \mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \ldots, X_m]$ be a non-zero polynomial of total degree at most d. Then the number of zeros of $h(X_1, \ldots, X_m)$ in $\mathbb{F}_q^m$ is at most*

$$\#\{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^m : h(x) = 0\} \leq d q^{m-1}. \tag{3.19}$$

3.3. **Bounding C_n.** We note that for odd $n > 2$, $\delta_{f+\alpha_j}(t)$ is always of degree exactly $(n-1)/2$. For even $n > 2$, $\deg \delta_{f+\alpha_j}(t) = (n-2)/2$ iff the coefficient of x^{n-1} in $f + \alpha_j$ is non-zero. This is true simultaneously for every j for all but at most $r q^{n-2}$ tuples a where $a_{n-1} \in \{\alpha_{j,n-1}\}$. Hence $\#C_n < r q^{n-2}$. This contribution will be merged into the B_n bound.

3.4. **Bounding B_n.** $\delta_f(t), \delta_{f+\alpha_j}(t)$ have total degree $n-1$ in $a_{n-1}, \ldots, a_1, t$, and by definition of $B(j)$, they have degree $d(n)$ as polynomials in t. Hence by Lemma 3.4, $\mathrm{Res}(\delta_f(t), \delta_{f+\alpha_j}(t))$ has total degree $2(n-1)d(n) - d(n)^2$ in the coefficients $a_{n-1}, \ldots, a_1$, which equals $\frac{3}{4}(n-1)^2$ for odd n and $\frac{(3n-2)(n-2)}{4}$

for even n; in either case, we may round this up to $\frac{3}{4}n^2$ and obtain[3]

$$\#B_n < \frac{3}{4}(r-1)n^2q^{n-2} \tag{3.20}$$

3.5. **Bounding A_n.** We have seen that the degree of ξ_f in t is at most $2d(n)$ and that the total degree of ξ_f in $a_n, a_{n-1}, \dots, a_1, t$ is $2(n-1)$. δ_f has total degree $n-1$ and degree $d(n)$ in t. Thus we find that the degree of Ξ_f in t is at most $4d(n)-2$ (i.e. $2n-4$ for odd n and $2n-6$ for even n), and its total degree in $a_n, a_{n-1}, \dots, a_1, t$ is exactly $4n-6$. As the degree of δ_f is constant, we may assume that the polynomial given by $\mathrm{Res}(\delta_f, \Xi_f)$ is fixed by always assuming Ξ_f is of degree exactly $4d(n)-2$. This is valid, as adding leading zeros to only one of the polynomials multiplies the resultant by a non-zero factor. We now have

$$\begin{aligned} &\deg_t \delta_f = d(n), && \text{tot.deg}\, \delta_f = n-1 \\ &\deg_t \Xi_f = 4d(n)-2, && \text{tot.deg}\, \Xi_f = 4n-6 \end{aligned}$$

Hence by Lemma 3.4, the degree of $\mathrm{Res}(\delta_f, \Xi_f)$ in $a_{n-1}, \dots, a_1$ is $(n-1)(4d(n)-2) + d(n)(4n-6) - d(n)(4d(n)-2)$, which is equal to $(n-1)(3n-5)$ for odd n and $3n^2-10n+6$ for even n. In either case, we may round this up to $3n^2$ and obtain

$$\#A_n < 3n^2q^{n-2} \tag{3.21}$$

and combining this with (3.20) we get

$$\#G_n^c < \frac{3}{4}(r+3)n^2q^{n-2} \tag{3.22}$$

proving theorem (1.1).

4. Non-vanishing of the resultants

4.1. Non-vanishing of the polynomials defining B_n.

Proposition 4.1. *Given a non-zero polynomial $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ with $\deg \alpha < n$, the function $a \mapsto \mathrm{Res}(\delta_f(t), \delta_{f+\alpha}(t))$ is not the zero polynomial, that is, the polynomial function*

$$R(a) := \mathrm{Res}_t(\delta_f(t), \delta_{f+\alpha}(t)) \in \mathbb{F}_2[\vec{a}] \tag{4.1}$$

is not identically zero.

We note that the proof of the analogous proposition in odd characteristic [5, Proposition 3.1] did not in fact rely on the characteristic being odd. We may follow the same arguments to see again that $R(a)$ cannot be identically zero. More accurately, the proof in [5] referred to the polynomial $\mathrm{Res}_t(D_f(t), D_{f+\alpha}(t))$ which, in characteristic 2, is equivalent to

[3] Note that, as $n \geq 3$, the process of rounding up to $\frac{3}{4}n^2$ adds at least $\frac{15}{4} > 2$. This, together with the rounding of the bound on $\#A_n$, covers the two instances where we neglected an error of rq^{n-1}: The first in the assumption that $\delta(F+\alpha_j) \neq 0$, the second in bounding C_n.

$\mathrm{Res}_t(\delta_f^2(t), \delta_{f+\alpha}^2(t)) = R(a)^4$. The main observation behind the proof was that the roots of $D_f(t)$, which are the same as the roots of $\delta_f(t)$, are exactly those t for which there exists some ρ (in some fixed algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_q$) that satisfies $f'(\rho) = 0$ and $t = -f(\rho)$. This observation is just as valid in characteristic 2, as are the calculations that followed. This completes the proof of inequality (3.20).

4.2. **Non-vanishing of the polynomials defining A_n.** We wish to show that the algebraic condition for being in A_n, i.e. $\mathrm{Res}(\delta_f, \Xi_f) = 0$, is not always satisfied. We will demonstrate this by giving explicit examples of f that do not satisfy the equation.[4] We will construct two generic examples, depending on the parity of n. The relevant computations are given in more detail in section 7.

Consider first $n \geq 3$ odd, and take $f + t = x^n + ax^2 + t$. An easy computation then yields

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_f &= t^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \\ \xi_f &= \frac{(-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} n^n - 1}{4} t^{n-1} + a^n t \\ \xi_f' &= a^n\end{aligned}$$

Note that the only root of δ_f is at $t = 0$, and also $\xi_f(0) = 0, \xi_f'^2(0) = a^{2n}$. Hence the value of $\Xi_f = \xi_f \delta_f'^2 - \xi_f'^2$ at $t = 0$ is a^{2n}. Hence for any $a \neq 0$, it is clear that this polynomial cannot have common roots with δ_f, hence $\mathrm{Res}(\delta_f, \Xi_f) \neq 0$.

For the case of $n \geq 4$ even, we will consider the polynomial $f + t = x^n + ax^{n-1} + bx + t$, with $a, b \neq 0$. Let us write $n = 2m$. An easy computation yields $\delta_f(t) = a^m t^{m-1} + b^m$ and $\delta_f'(t) = (m-1)a^m t^{m-2}$, and a longer computation yields

$$\xi_f = \begin{cases} 0 & m \equiv 0, 1 \pmod 4 \\ \delta_f^2 & m \equiv 2, 3 \pmod 4 \end{cases} + \begin{cases} a^{m+1} b^{m+1} t^{m-2} & m \equiv 0, 2 \pmod 4 \\ a^{m-1} b^{m-1} t^m & m \equiv 1, 3 \pmod 4 \end{cases}$$

And hence

$$\Xi_f = \xi_f \delta_f'^2 - \xi_f'^2 = \begin{cases} a^{3m+1} b^{m+1} t^{3m-6} & m \equiv 0 \pmod 4 \\ a^{3m+1} b^{m+1} t^{3m-6} + a^n t^{n-4} \delta_f^2 & m \equiv 2 \pmod 4 \\ a^{n-2} b^{n-2} t^{n-2} & m \equiv 1 \pmod 2 \end{cases}$$

As $b \neq 0$, clearly $t = 0$ is not a root of δ_f, but in all cases above, it is either the sole root of Ξ_f or of a combination of Ξ_f and δ_f. Either way, it is clear

[4] A different approach was used in the case of odd characteristic. The approach used here could have been applied there partially. For example, the polynomial $f+t = x^n+ax+t$ yields $D_f(t) = (-1)^{\frac{(n-1)n}{2}}(n^n t^{n-1} + (1-n)^{n-1} a^n)$, which satisfies $\mathrm{disc}_t(D_f(t)) \neq 0$ given $\gcd(q, n(n-1)) = 1, a \neq 0$. While this covers many cases, the remaining cases are not as easily dealt with. The algebraic approach managed to avoid this division into cases completely.

that Ξ_f and δ_f can have no common roots, and $\mathrm{Res}(\delta_f, \Xi_f) \neq 0$. Thus we have shown inequality (3.21).

5. The case $n = 2$

For $n = 2$, the inequality (1.2) is not always valid – sometimes there are correlations in the Möbius function. The following proposition covers all cases where $n = 2$:

Proposition 5.1. *Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ be distinct linear polynomials $\alpha_i = a_i x + b_i$, and let $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_r \in \{1, 2\}$. Set*

$$\begin{aligned} &A = \{a_i : 1 \le i \le r\}, \\ &b_a = \sum_{i:\, a_i = a} \epsilon_i b_i, && A_b = \{a \in A : b_a \neq 0\}, \\ &\gamma_a = \sum_{i:\, a_i = a} \epsilon_i \bmod 2, && A_\gamma = \{a \in A : \gamma_a \neq 0\} \end{aligned}$$

One of the following relations then holds:

$$\begin{cases} |C(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r; 2)| < rq & A_\gamma \neq \varnothing \\ |C(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r; 2)| < rq^{\frac{3}{2}} & A_\gamma = \varnothing, A_b \neq \varnothing \\ C(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r; 2) \ge q^2 - rq & A_\gamma = \varnothing, A_b = \varnothing \end{cases} \tag{5.1}$$

Proof. One may easily see that for a quadratic polynomial,

$$\mathrm{Berl}(x^2 + ax + b) = \frac{b}{a^2} \tag{5.2}$$

In particular, $\mu(x^2 + ax + b) = 0 \iff a = 0$, and otherwise

$$\mu(x^2 + ax + b) = \chi_2\Big(\frac{b}{a^2}\Big). \tag{5.3}$$

Clearly for $f = x^2 + sx + t$, $\prod_i \mu(f + \alpha_i)^{\epsilon_i} = 0 \iff s \in A$, so we may take our sum only over $s \notin A$. There is no further contribution to the product from α_i where $\epsilon_i = 2$. We compute:

(5.4)

$$\begin{aligned} C(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r; 2) &= \sum_{f \in M_2} \prod_i \mu(f + \alpha_i)^{\epsilon_i} = \sum_{s \notin A} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_q} \chi_2\Big(\sum_i \frac{\epsilon_i (b_i + t)}{(s + a_i)^2} \Big) \\ &= \sum_{s \notin A} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_q} \chi_2\Big(\sum_{a \in A} \frac{b_a + \gamma_a t}{s^2 + a^2} \Big) \\ &= \sum_{s \notin A} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_q} \chi_2\Big(\sum_{a \in A} \frac{b_a}{s^2 + a^2} \Big) \chi_2\Big(\sum_{a \in A} \frac{\gamma_a}{s^2 + a^2} t \Big) \\ &= \sum_{s \notin A} \chi_2\Big(\sum_{a \in A_b} \frac{b_a}{s^2 + a^2} \Big) \Big(\sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_q} \chi_2\Big(\big(\sum_{a \in A_\gamma} \frac{1}{s^2 + a^2} \big)\, t \Big) \Big) \end{aligned}$$

Note that for any constant c,

$$\sum_{t\in\mathbb{F}_q}\chi_2(ct)=\begin{cases}0 & c\neq 0\\ q & c=0\end{cases}$$

We now have two cases. If $A_\gamma\neq\varnothing$, then $\sum_{a\in A_\gamma}\frac{1}{s^2+a^2}=0$ for at most $\#A_\gamma-1<r$ values of s. Hence in this case we have

$$|C(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_r;2)|\leq(\#A_\gamma-1)q<rq \tag{5.5}$$

which is the first case of proposition 5.1. If on the other hand A_γ is empty, then (5.4) becomes

$$C(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_r;2)=q\sum_{s\notin A}\chi_2\Big(\sum_{a\in A_b}\frac{b_a}{s^2+a^2}\Big) \tag{5.6}$$

Once again, we have two cases. If $A_b=\varnothing$, then clearly

$$C(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_r;2)=q(q-\#A)\geq q^2-rq \tag{5.7}$$

i.e., there is full correlation - every term in the sum is either 0 or 1. This is the third case of proposition 5.1. Finally, we are left with the case $A_b\neq\varnothing$. By the change of variables $y\leftarrow y+\sum_{a\in A_b}\frac{\sqrt{b_a}}{s+a}$, we see that the curve $y^2+y=\sum_{a\in A_b}\frac{b_a}{s^2+a^2}$ is equivalent to the curve $y^2+y=\sum_{a\in A_b}\frac{\sqrt{b_a}}{s+a}$. The rational function $\sum_{a\in A_b}\frac{\sqrt{b_a}}{s+a}$ has exactly $\#A_b$ distinct simple poles, hence by Theorem 3.1, the genus of these curves is exactly $\#A_b-1$. Note that $A_b\leq\frac{r}{2}$: indeed, $A_\gamma=\varnothing$ implies that each $a\in A_b$ is represented at least twice in the sequence $\{a_i\}$. Applying Theorem 3.1 to equation (5.6) then yields

$$|C(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_r;2)|\leq(2(\#A_b-1)q^{\frac{1}{2}}+1)q<rq^{\frac{3}{2}} \tag{5.8}$$

Completing the proof of proposition 5.1. □

6. Square Independence

In [2, Proposition 3.1], Bary-Soroker computes the following Galois group:

Proposition 6.1 (Bary-Soroker)**.** *Let q be an odd prime power, let n, r be positive integers, let $\alpha=(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_r)\in\mathbb{F}_q[x]^r$ be an r-tuple of distinct polynomials each of degree $<n$, let $\mathbf{U}=(U_0,\ldots,U_{n-1})$ be an n-tuple of variables over $\mathbb{F}_q$, and let $\mathcal{F}=x^n+U_{n-1}x^{n-1}+\cdots+U_0\in\mathbb{F}_q[\mathbf{U},x]$. For each $i=1,\ldots,r$, let $\mathcal{F}_i=\mathcal{F}+\alpha_i$. Let $\tilde{\mathbb{F}}_q$ be an algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_q$, let $E=\tilde{\mathbb{F}}_q(\mathbf{U})$, let F_i be the splitting field of $\mathcal{F}_i$ over E, and let F be the splitting field of $\prod_{i=1}^r\mathcal{F}_i$ over E. Then* $\mathrm{Gal}(F/E)\cong S_n^r$.

We wish to extend this computation to even q as well. The reliance on odd q lies in the following lemma ([2, Lemma 3.3]):

Lemma 6.2. *For a separable polynomial $f \in E[x]$, denote by $\delta_x(f)$ the square class of its discriminant* $\mathrm{disc}_x(f)$ *in the $\mathbb{F}_2$-vector space $E^\times/(E^\times)^2$. The square classes $\delta_x(\mathcal{F}_1), \ldots, \delta_x(\mathcal{F}_r)$ are linearly independent.*

The lemma is proven using some of the arguments from [5]. It then sets the ground for the application of a final lemma ([3, Lemma 3.4]):

Lemma 6.3. *If the square classes $\delta_x(\mathcal{F}_1), \ldots, \delta_x(\mathcal{F}_r)$ are linearly independent, then $F_1, \ldots, F_r$ are linearly disjoint over E.*

Lemma 6.3, together with F being the compositum of $F_1, \ldots, F_r$ and with the classical fact that $\mathrm{Gal}(F_i/E) \cong S_n$, easily yields Proposition 6.1; see [2, Section 3] for the full details.

We note that Lemma 6.3 was proven in [3] also in characteristic 2. In this case, $\delta_x(f)$ needs to be defined in terms of Berlekamp's discriminant, as the residue class $[\mathrm{Berl}(f)]$ in $E/\wp(E)$, where $\wp(y) = y^2 + y$. We shall prove Lemma 6.2 in this context, for $n > 2$, in analogy to the proof in [2]. This is the last required piece in the proof of Proposition 6.1 for characteristic 2.

Proof. Consider an arbitrary extension $\mathbb{F}_{q^e}$, write $t = U_0$, and consider specializations $(U_1, \ldots, U_{n-1}) \mapsto a = (a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^e}^{n-1}$. The specialization of the polynomial $\mathcal{F}$ is $f = x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1 x + t \in \mathbb{F}_{q^e}[x, t]$. $E/\wp(E)$ is specialized into $\mathbb{F}_{q^e}(t)/\wp(\mathbb{F}_{q^e}(t))$, and we shall work in this quotient. Examine the proof of Proposition 3.3. In its course we have in fact shown that if $[\mathrm{Berl}(f + \alpha_1)] \neq 0$ (i.e. $a \notin A_n \cup C(1)$), and $\mathrm{disc}_x(f + \alpha_1)$ is coprime to $\mathrm{disc}_x(f + \alpha_j)$ for each $j \neq 1$ (i.e. $a \notin B_n \cup C_n$), then $[\mathrm{Berl}(f + \alpha_1)] + \cdots + [\mathrm{Berl}(f + \alpha_r)] \neq 0$ (i.e. $a \in G_n$). We have also demonstrated that this must be the case for all but at most $\frac{3}{4}(r+3)n^2 q^{e(n-2)}$ specializations. The same arguments imply that if we further require that $[\mathrm{Berl}(f + \alpha_i)] \neq 0$ for each $i = 1, \ldots, r$, and that $\mathrm{disc}_x(f + \alpha_i)$ is coprime to $\mathrm{disc}_x(f + \alpha_j)$ for all $j \neq i$, then for any non-empty set of indices $I \subset \{1, \ldots, r\}$, we have $\sum_{i \in I}[\mathrm{Berl}(f + \alpha_i)] \neq 0$, which is equivalent to stating that $[\mathrm{Berl}(f + \alpha_1)], \ldots, [\mathrm{Berl}(f + \alpha_r)]$ are linearly independent in $\mathbb{F}_{q^e}(t)/\wp(\mathbb{F}_{q^e}(t))$. Furthermore, this must be the case for all but at most $\frac{3}{4}r(r+3)n^2 q^{e(n-2)}$ of the $q^{e(n-1)}$ specializations $a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^e}^{n-1}$. In particular, for large enough exponent e, it is clear that there must exist at least one such specialization. But since a linear dependence in $E/\wp(E)$ would survive specialization, it follows that the set of $\delta_x(\mathcal{F}_i) = [\mathrm{Berl}(\mathcal{F} + \alpha_i)]$ must indeed be linearly independent in $E/\wp(E)$. □

Remark. Lemma 6.2 is not true in general for $n = 2$, where linear dependence of the classes is possible, as demonstrated in the third case of Proposition 5.1. The result is still valid under more specific conditions, that rule out the possibility of dependence occurring in any subset of $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r$. Specifically, we require that there is no non-empty subset $S \subset \{1, \ldots, r\}$ such that $\{\alpha_i : i \in S\}$ all share the same linear term and $\sum_{i \in S}(x^2 + \alpha_i) = 0$.

7. Computations

In this section we calculate $\delta(f)$, $\xi(f)$ for the polynomials $f = x^n + ax^2 + t$ with n odd, and $f = x^n + ax^{n-1} + bx + t$ with n even. To do so, we will compute $\mathrm{disc}(f)$ and $\mathrm{disc}_+(f)$. Writing $f(x) = g(x^2) + xh(x^2)$, we see from (2.5), that (working modulo 2),

$$\delta^2(f) = \mathrm{disc}(f) = \mathrm{disc}_+(f) = \mathrm{Res}(h(x), g(x))^2 \tag{7.1}$$

Hence $\delta(f) = \mathrm{Res}(h(x), g(x))$. The formula for resultants of binomials is well known (see [8, Lemma 3]) and we obtain

$$\delta(x^n + ax^2 + t) = \mathrm{Res}(x^{\frac{n-1}{2}}, ax + t) = t^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$$
$$\delta(x^n + ax^{n-1} + bx + t) = \mathrm{Res}(ax^{\frac{n}{2}-1} + b, x^{\frac{n}{2}} + t) = a^{\frac{n}{2}} t^{\frac{n}{2}-1} + b^{\frac{n}{2}}$$

Note that the above computations for the resultants are valid also in characteristic 0, so we have also computed $\mathrm{disc}_+(f)$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{disc}_+(x^n + ax^2 + t) &= \mathrm{Res}(x^{\frac{n-1}{2}}, ax + t)^2 = t^{n-1} \\ \mathrm{disc}_+(x^n + ax^{n-1} + bx + t) &= \mathrm{Res}(ax^{\frac{n}{2}-1} + b, x^{\frac{n}{2}} + t)^2 \\ &= a^n t^{n-2} + b^n + 2a^{\frac{n}{2}} b^{\frac{n}{2}} t^{\frac{n}{2}-1}\end{aligned}$$

We now need to compute $\mathrm{disc}(f)$ in characteristic 0.

For odd n, we apply the general formula for trinomial discriminants ([8, Theorem 2]) and obtain

$$\mathrm{disc}(x^n + ax^2 + t) = (-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \left(n^n t^{n-1} + 4(n-2)^{n-2} a^n t \right)$$

It is now immediate to compute

$$\xi(x^n + ax^2 + t) = \frac{\mathrm{disc}_+ - \mathrm{disc}}{4} \mod 2 = \frac{(-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} n^n - 1}{4} t^{n-1} + a^n t.$$

The computation for even n is somewhat longer and more complicated:

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{disc}(x^n + ax^{n-1} + bx + t) \\ &= (-1)^{\frac{(n-1)n}{2}} \mathrm{Res}(x^n + ax^{n-1} + bx + t, nx^{n-1} + (n-1)ax^{n-2} + b) \\ &= (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} \tfrac{1}{t} \mathrm{Res}(x^n + ax^{n-1} + bx + t, nx^n + (n-1)ax^{n-1} + bx) \\ &= (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} \tfrac{1}{t} \mathrm{Res}(x^n + ax^{n-1} + bx + t, ax^{n-1} + (n-1)bx + nt) \\ &= (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} \tfrac{1}{t} \mathrm{Res}(x^n + (2-n)bx + (1-n)t, ax^{n-1} + (n-1)bx + nt) \\ &= (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}+1} \tfrac{1}{t} a^n \mathrm{Res}(-x^n + (n-2)bx + (n-1)t, x^{n-1} + \tfrac{(n-1)b}{a} x + \tfrac{nt}{a}) \\ &= (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}+1} \tfrac{1}{t} a^n \mathrm{Res}\left(\tfrac{(n-1)b}{a} x^2 + ((n-2)b + \tfrac{nt}{a})x + (n-1)t, \right. \\ &\qquad\qquad \left. x^{n-1} + \tfrac{(n-1)b}{a} x + \tfrac{nt}{a} \right) \\ &= (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}+1} \tfrac{1}{(n-1)t^2} a^n \mathrm{Res}\left(\tfrac{(n-1)b}{a} x^2 + ((n-2)b + \tfrac{nt}{a})x + (n-1)t, \right. \\ &\qquad\qquad \left. x^n - (n-2)bx - (n-1)t \right)\end{aligned}$$

$$= (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}+1}\tfrac{1}{(n-1)t^2}\operatorname{Res}((n-1)bx^2+((n-2)ab+nt)x+(n-1)ta,$$
$$x^n-(n-2)bx-(n-1)t)$$
$$= (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}+1}\tfrac{1}{(n-1)t^2}\operatorname{Res}(\alpha x^2+\beta x+\gamma, x^n-ux-v)$$

where $\alpha=(n-1)b,\ \beta=((n-2)ab+nt),\ \gamma=(n-1)ta,\ u=(n-2)b$, and $v=(n-1)t$ are defined by the last equivalence. Note that α,γ,v are odd and β,u are even. The number of terms in the last resultant is unbounded as n increases. However, we are only interested in $\operatorname{disc}(f) \bmod 8$, and as β is even, only finitely many of the terms will be non-zero modulo 8. Therefore we will continue our computation modulo 8.

Let $r_{1,2}=\frac{-\beta\pm\sqrt{\beta^2-4\alpha\gamma}}{2\alpha}$ be the roots of $\alpha x^2+\beta x+\gamma$. Let $R_k=\alpha^k(r_1^k+r_2^k)$. It is easy to see that

$$R_0=2,\quad R_1=-\beta,\quad \forall k\geq 2, R_k=-\beta R_{k-1}-\alpha\gamma R_{k-2}$$

Thus for all k, R_k is a polynomial in $\beta,\alpha\gamma$. Using the recursion formula, one may show by induction that

$$R_k=\sum_{0\leq l\leq k/2}(-1)^{k-l}\left(\tbinom{k-l}{l}+\tbinom{k-l-1}{l-1}\right)(\alpha\gamma)^l\beta^{k-2l} \tag{7.2}$$

As we are only interested in $R_k \bmod 8$, we may discard all monomials containing powers of β greater than 3, and obtain:

$$R_k \bmod \beta^3=\begin{cases}(-1)^m\left(2(\alpha\gamma)^m-m^2(\alpha\gamma)^{m-1}\beta^2\right) & k=2m \text{ even}\\ (-1)^m k(\alpha\gamma)^{m-1}\beta & k=2m-1 \text{ odd}\end{cases} \tag{7.3}$$

We now compute:

$$\operatorname{Res}(\alpha x^2+\beta x+\gamma, x^n-ux-v)=\alpha^n(r_1^n-ur_1-v)(r_2^n-ur_2-v)$$
$$=\alpha^n\left((r_1r_2)^n+u^2r_1r_2+v^2-ur_1r_2(r_1^{n-1}+r_2^{n-1})-v(r_1^n+r_2^n)+uv(r_1+r_2)\right)$$
$$=\gamma^n+u^2\alpha^{n-1}\gamma+v^2\alpha^n-u\gamma R_{n-1}-vR_n-uv\alpha^{n-1}\beta$$

We can now replace $\alpha,\beta,\gamma,u,v,R_{n-1},R_n$ by their actual values. We first expand the part of the expression not involving R_{n-1},R_n:

$$\gamma^n+u^2\alpha^{n-1}\gamma+v^2\alpha^n-uv\alpha^{n-1}\beta=\gamma^n+\alpha^{n-1}(u^2\gamma+v^2\alpha-uv\beta)=$$
$$(n-1)^n\left(a^nt^n+b^{n-1}\left((n-2)^2ab^2t+(n-1)^2bt^2-(n-2)bt((n-2)ab+nt)\right)\right)=$$
$$(n-1)^n(a^nt^n+b^nt^2)$$

Next, set $n=2m$, and expand

$$(-1)^m(u\gamma R_{n-1}+vR_n)=$$
$$(n-1)u\alpha^{m-1}\gamma^m\beta+2v\alpha^m\gamma^m-m^2v\alpha^{m-1}\gamma^{m-1}\beta^2\equiv$$
$$(\alpha\gamma)^{m-1}(u\gamma\beta+2v\alpha\gamma-m^2v\beta^2)=$$
$$(n-1)^{n-1}(abt)^{m-1}((n-2)(n-1)abt\beta+2(n-1)^2abt^2-m^2t\beta^2)\equiv$$
$$2(n-1)a^mb^mt^{m+1}+(n-2)^2a^{m+1}b^{m+1}t^m-m^2n^2a^{m-1}b^{m-1}t^{m+2} \pmod 8$$

where the cancellations in the last congruence are due to identities such as $(n-1)^2 \equiv 1 \pmod 8$, $n(n-2) \equiv 0 \pmod 8$ and $ax \equiv a \pmod 8$ when a is divisible by 4 and x is odd. Note that of the last two terms, exactly one has coefficient $\equiv 0 \pmod 8$, and the other coefficient $\equiv 4 \pmod 8$, determined by the parity of m.

Combining all expansions, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\operatorname{disc}(x^n + ax^{n-1} + bx + t) \\
&= (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}+1} \tfrac{1}{(n-1)t^2} \operatorname{Res}(\alpha x^2 + \beta x + \gamma, x^n - ux - v) \\
&\equiv (-1)^{m+1}(n-1)^{n-1}\big(a^n t^{n-2} + b^n\big) + 2a^m b^m t^{m-1} \\
&\quad + 4\begin{cases} a^{m+1}b^{m+1}t^{m-2} & m \equiv 0 \pmod 2 \\ a^{m-1}b^{m-1}t^m & m \equiv 1 \pmod 2 \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Noting also that $a^n t^{n-2} + b^n = \delta_f^2$ and

$$(-1)^{m+1}(n-1)^{n-1} \bmod 8 = \begin{cases} 1 & m \equiv 0, 1 \pmod 4 \\ 5 & m \equiv 2, 3 \pmod 4 \end{cases} \tag{7.4}$$

we can now calculate

$$\xi(x^n + ax^{n-1} + bx + t) = \frac{\operatorname{disc}_+ - \operatorname{disc}}{4} \bmod 2 =$$
$$\begin{cases} 0 & m \equiv 0, 1 \pmod 4 \\ \delta_f^2 & m \equiv 2, 3 \pmod 4 \end{cases} + \begin{cases} a^{m+1}b^{m+1}t^{m-2} & m \equiv 0, 2 \pmod 4 \\ a^{m-1}b^{m-1}t^m & m \equiv 1, 3 \pmod 4 \end{cases}$$

as claimed. □

Acknowledgements

The author wishes to thank Lior Bary-Soroker for introducing him to Berlekamp's discriminant and for helpful discussions, and to thank Zeèv Rudnick for his guidance and many helpful suggestions.

References

[1] J. C. Andrade, L. Bary-Soroker, Z. Rudnick, *Shifted convolution and the Titchmarsh divisor problem over $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$*, arXiv:1407.2076

[2] L. Bary-Soroker, *Hardy-Littlewood Tuple Conjecture Over Large Finite Fields*, Int Math Res Notices (2014) 2014 (2): 568–575. doi: 10.1093/imrn/rns249

[3] L. Bary-Soroker, *Irreducible values of polynomials*, Adv. Math., 229 (2), 854-874 (2012)

[4] E. R. Berlekamp, *An analog to the discriminant over fields of characteristic two*, Journal of Algebra, Volume 38, Issue 2, February 1976, Pages 315-317, doi: 10.1016/0021-8693(76)90222-2

[5] D. Carmon and Z. Rudnick, *The autocorrelation of the Mobius function and Chowla's conjecture for the rational function field*, Q J Math (2014) 65 (1):53–61 2013

[6] G. I. Perel'muter, *On certain character sums*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, 18:2(110) (1963), 145–149.

[7] Wolfgang M. Schmidt, Equations over finite fields: an elementary approach. Second edition. Kendrick Press, Heber City, UT, 2004

[8] R. G. Swan, *Factorization of polynomials over finite fields*. Pacific J. Math. 12 (1962), 1099–1106.

Raymond and Beverly Sackler School of Mathematical Sciences, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv 69978, Israel